

R 6 42
 L 2 31
 Jan 2 35
 Oct 2 13
 Jan 50
 8/16-91

Richard Beal

against

Abraham Williams

Plff

In case

Def

The defendant by his attorney came into Court and defended the case and saying when he was asked that he did not assume upon himself in manner and form as against him the plaintiff had complained and that he was only being called up by the Court, and the plaintiff did not believe in the facts being as upon the ground came also, a jury, among Thomas S. Beale, William Morgan, Thomas Bates, Robt. Jones, James Lindsay, Allen Jones, Henry Smith, Henry Parsons, James Douglas Russell, William Perkins, and Joseph May, who were called and sworn the Court to speak upon the issue joined upon their oaths do say, that the plaintiff did assume upon him self the debt in the Declaration mentioned - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff have judgment against the Defendant for the sum of ten pounds ten shillings and his costs by him about his suit on this behalfs incurred - And the Defendant on Moray & Co. Men. the Plaintiff Richard Beal came into Court and he, even pro se, paid and released all his rights in the foregoing judgment to Thomas Fells -

On the motion of Robert May, attorney for Peter Clanton against Arthur Foster it is ordered that the said Peter Clanton pay him one dollar and fifty cents for three days attendance on the Court according to Law

On the motion of Jacob Darden, attorney for Peter Clanton against Arthur Foster it is ordered that the said Peter Clanton pay him two dollars and twelve cents for four days attendance on the Court according to Law

On the motion of Arthur Foster for a new trial in the case Peter Clanton against the said Foster, the Court heard the arguments motion overruled the

James Smith

Compt

Def

Against

Abraham Williams

John Bygum
 In the motion of the complainant by his attorney it is denied